

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/462,717		04/10/2000	Scott Olive	5432		
23446	7590	06/28/2005		EXAMINER		
MCANDR	EWS HE	LD & MALLOY,	NGUYEN, KIM T			
500 WEST MADISON STREET				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 3400 CHICAGO, IL 60661				3713		

DATE MAILED: 06/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			\leq					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	/					
Office Antique Occurrence	09/462,717	OLIVE, SCOTT						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	:					
	Kim Nguyen	3713						
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover she	et with the correspondence ad	dress					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailling date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	. 136(a). In no event, however, n ply within the statutory minimum d will apply and will expire SIX (6 te, cause the application to beco	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely MONTHS from the mailing date of this of me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.					
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 A	April 2005.							
·_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	is action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4) ☐ Claim(s) 106-122 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrays. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 106-122 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration							
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin								
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	, ,						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		- · · · -	• •					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received nts have been received prity documents have b au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	in Application No been received in this National	Stage					
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/2/64, 3/8/05, 3/11/05, 5/5/05	Pape	riew Summary (PTO-413) r No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Application (PTC)-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/462,717 Page 2

Art Unit: 3713

DETAILED ACTION

Examiner acknowledges receipt of the RCE filed with the amendment on 4/8/05. According to the amendment, claims 108-122 have been added, and claims 106-122 are pending in the application.

Claim Objections

- 1. Claims 106, 111, are objected to because of the following informalities:
- a) In claim 106, line 3, the claimed limitation "the player" should be corrected to "a player".
- b) In claim 106, line 5; and claim 122, line 1, the claimed limitation "a player" should be corrected to "the player".
- c) In claim 106, line 6, the claimed limitation "the occurrence" should be corrected to "an occurrence".
- d) In claim 106, line 22, the claimed limitation "the outcome" should be corrected to "an outcome".
- e) In claim 111, line 1, the claimed limitation "said gaming machine" should be corrected to "each of said gaming machines".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Application/Control Number: 09/462,717

Art Unit: 3713

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and

Page 3

3. Claim 118 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 118 recites the limitation "the currency denomination" in line 1.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 106-122 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Acres (US patent No. 6,375,567) in view of Torango (US patent No. 6,592,460).

As per claim 106, Acres discloses a network gaming machines, each gaming machine include a user interface and accepts different wager amounts (abstract; col. 4, lines 26-31). The method for awarding prizes upon an occurrence a trigger condition comprising making a wager (col. 7, lines 8-18); initiating a first main game (col. 4, lines 37-45); determining the trigger

condition occurring upon an event having a probability (col. 7, lines 12-33); triggering a second game in response to the trigger condition (col. 7, lines 22-27 and col. 10, lines 7-10); activating the user interface during playing the second game; identifying a winning progressive prize; and awarding the winning progressive prize to the player (col. 9, lines 66-67; and col. 10, lines 1-3). Acres does not explicitly disclose determining probability of trigger condition based on the amount of wager and displaying the second game at the particular gaming machine. However, Torango discloses determining probability (the odds) of triggering a bonus event based on the amount of wager (col. 24, lines 8-10) and displaying the second game (the bonus event) at a particular game machine (col. 15, lines 63-66). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to determine probability for triggering a second bonus game based on the amount of wager and to display the second bonus game at a particular gaming machine of Acres as taught by Torango in order to increase winning probability for the player who places large amount of wagers and to facilitate playing the bonus game at the participant's game machine.

As per claim 107, Acres discloses selecting a random number from a predetermined range of numbers (col. 10, lines 54-56); and Torango discloses creating a set of numbers based on the amount of wager (col. 16, lines 11-33)

and indicating the occurrence of the trigger condition if the allotted number matches the selected random number (col. 24, lines 15-19).

As per claim 108, Acres discloses credit wagers (col. 7, lines 9-12).

As per claim 109-110, allotting one number for each bet credit of currency would have been obvious design choice.

As per claim 111-112, since Acres discloses generating a random number from a predetermined fixed range of numbers (col. 10, lines 54-56) and since including a random number generator for generating random numbers would have been ole and well known in the art, Acres obviously encompasses teaching including a random number in the game machine.

As per claim 113-116, the limitations stated in claims 113-121 would have been well known to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

As per claim 117-121, awarding more than one game as the bonus game, using currency denomination in cents, providing unequal progressive prize values, providing prizes as a fraction of a pool, and providing a second game with higher probability of success than the main game would have been both well known and obvious design choice.

As per claim 122, Acres discloses alerting occurring of the second game prior displaying the second game (col. 9, lines 43-48).

Application/Control Number: 09/462,717

Art Unit: 3713

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 106-122 have been

considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications

from the examiner should be directed to Kim Nguyen whose telephone number

is 571-272-4441. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday

during business hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the

examiner's supervisor, Xuan Thai, can be reached on 571-272-7147. The

central official fax number for the organization where this application or

proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

kn

Date: June 21, 2005

Kim Nguyen

Primary Examiner

Page 6

Art Unit 3713